

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/553,993	Applicant(s) LACROIX-DESMAZES ET AL.
Examiner YEVEGENY VALENROD		Art Unit 1621	
All Participants: (1) <u>YEVEGENY VALENROD</u> (2) <u>Richard Treanor</u> Date of Interview: <u>22 January 2010</u> Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:		Status of Application: _____ (3) _____ (4) _____ Time: <u>11am</u>	
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <u>112 1st scope of enablement</u> Claims discussed: <u>45, 46, 17</u> Prior art documents discussed: <u>none</u>			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Examiner proposed an amendment to the claims that would place the application in condition for allowance. The language of the amendment was agreed upon.</i>			
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /Yevgeny Valenrod/ Examiner, Art Unit 1621 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>			